

From: [Engelman, Alexa](#)
To: [Darman, Leslie](#)
Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 6:02:44 PM

Leslie, many thanks, I will give you an update. Appreciate your support on this~
Alexa

From: Darman, Leslie
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 3:02 PM
To: Engelman, Alexa
Subject: FW: Version 1 of the MOA
Importance: High

Alexa – I'll be leaving the office in a few minutes. I'll be meeting with Ron B. tomorrow morning at 9 am and will try and sort this out. If you wouldn't mind leaving me a voice mail or sending me an email with the status as you see it before you leave today, that would be helpful. Also, if you happen to get a copy of what Bob mentioned (and any other relevant documents), I'll bring it with me. I do have the email from David with the attachments he sent OGWDW, so no need to send that.

Leslie Darman
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of General Counsel
Tel: 202-564-5452

From: Smith, Robert-Eu
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 5:55 PM
To: Albright, David; Dermer, Michele
Cc: Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Darman, Leslie; Tiago, Joseph
Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA
Importance: High

I have sent a document to you and Michele that might add a little more light to this MOA.

From: Albright, David
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 5:43 PM
To: Tiago, Joseph; Dermer, Michele

Cc: Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu; Darman, Leslie
Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Joe –

Attached is a copy of a memo with attachments we found in our files. The brief cover memo, dated Dec 13, 1982 is from a section chief in our Water Program to Phil Tate, UIC Review Coordinator, State Program Division (HQs). There are two attachments: 1) a letter from CA Deputy AG to EPA Region 9 regarding the CA primacy application; and 2) MOA between DOGGR and EPA. As you will see, this version of the MOA (signatures appear identical to those on the version you have) contains a change in the language about aquifer exemptions on p.7 and includes a longer Attachment 2 list, which is a combination of all the formations on Attachments 2 and 3 of the version of the MOA you have. This version of the MOA makes no reference to Attachment 3.

Let me know if you have any questions.
Thanks,
David

From: Tiago, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 1:34 PM
To: Dermer, Michele
Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu
Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Michele,

Thanks for sending this version 1 of the MOA. This is what we have in our file. Do you want us to still send it to you?

I look forward to receiving version 2.

I am in tomorrow and happy to work with Leslie to look at the files in our possession.

Joe.

From: Dermer, Michele
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 4:05 PM
To: Tiago, Joseph
Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa
Subject: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Joe,

I need to get a scanned version of the one with the longer Attachment 2 and no attachment 3. I do not have that in my possession.

